Member to have made such remarks at this stage. The Member can only elicit information.

Sri RAMAKRISHNA HEGDE.—I rise on a point of order. Is it in order for a Member of this House to characterise the Ministry as dictatorial especially when the Government has been elected by the Members of this House itself?

(Several Members rose and protested)

Mr. SPEAKER.—I am not concerned with the merits of the charge. I am only concerned as to whether a charge of this kind may be made at this stage when we have taken up questions and answers. The occasion can be utilised for eliciting information and not for making or meeting charges against the Ministry. There will be an occasion for Members to make such charges and for the Ministry to answer them.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—That would be true if the Hon'ble Member was trying to make an insinuation. But in this case the Member says that what he alleges is a matter of fact.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That cannot be so. If the Member is not satisfied with the reply, he can ask fresh supplementaries, but the question hour should not be used to make charges.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—I was only questioning the attitute of the Government in this respect. Would it be in consonance with the spirit of democracy not to obey the laws?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I must answer the charge. When the matter came before the Assembly for the dissolution of this fund, this very Assembly has accepted and I cannot go against the decision of this House—though not this House, its predecessor has accepted the dissolution of this fund and we have no fund under that head to distribute.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—Sir, can a Resolution, passed by the previous House, amount to an amendment to the Statute?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—I am not prepared to answer that question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Whether the Resolution was legal or not or whether it entails suspension of certain sections of the District Board Act, is a different question altogether and that cannot be gone into now.

ಶ್ರೀ ಯು. ಎಂ. ಮಾದಪ್ಪ.—ಈಗ ತಾನೆ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು 1957ರಲ್ಲೇ ಇದೇ ಶಾಸನಸಭೆ ಆ ಫಂಡನ್ನು ಡಿಸಾಲ್ವ್ ಮಾಡಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು. ಆ ಫಂಡಿನಲ್ಲಿರತಕ್ಕ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಉಪಯೋಗಮಾಡಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಡಿಸಾಲ್ವ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿತ್ತೇ ಅಥವಾ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಅದನ್ನು ಡಿಸಾಲ್ವ್ ಮಾಡಿಬಿಡಿ ಎಂದು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡಿತ್ತೇ?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—In the Budget Memorandum of 1953-54, page 7 we find mention of certain reserves which are not covered by any liquid securities. The same thing is noted in the year 1952-53 also at page 8. There also we find that there are certain reserve funds like Rural Development, etc, which represent only book balances and have not been covered in the form of securities. Therefore we have no money to distribute under that head and that head does not continue to be in existence.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question time is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Appointment of Principal of Gulbarga Teachers Training College

*Q.—56. Sri R. M. PATIL (Navalgund).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

whether the High Court has made an observation in its judgement that in appointing the Principal of the Gulbarga Teachers' Training College the Government acted with malice?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

No. The question of *mala fides* was raised on behalf of the petitioner but the High Court observed that in view of the fact that the orders are liable to be quashed on other grounds it is unnecessary to express any final opinion on this point.